



Standard Guide for Applying Statistics to Analysis of Corrosion Data¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation G16; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers and presents briefly some generally accepted methods of statistical analyses which are useful in the interpretation of corrosion test results.

1.2 This guide does not cover detailed calculations and methods, but rather covers a range of approaches which have found application in corrosion testing.

1.3 Only those statistical methods that have found wide acceptance in corrosion testing have been considered in this guide.

1.4 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards*:²

[E178 Practice for Dealing With Outlying Observations](#)

[E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method](#)

[G46 Guide for Examination and Evaluation of Pitting Corrosion](#)

[IEEE/ASTM SI 10 American National Standard for Use of the International System of Units \(SI\): The Modern Metric System](#)

3. Significance and Use

3.1 Corrosion test results often show more scatter than many other types of tests because of a variety of factors, including the fact that minor impurities often play a decisive role in controlling corrosion rates. Statistical analysis can be very helpful in allowing investigators to interpret such results, especially in determining when test results differ from one another significantly. This can be a difficult task when a variety

of materials are under test, but statistical methods provide a rational approach to this problem.

3.2 Modern data reduction programs in combination with computers have allowed sophisticated statistical analyses on data sets with relative ease. This capability permits investigators to determine if associations exist between many variables and, if so, to develop quantitative expressions relating the variables.

3.3 Statistical evaluation is a necessary step in the analysis of results from any procedure which provides quantitative information. This analysis allows confidence intervals to be estimated from the measured results.

4. Errors

4.1 *Distributions*—In the measurement of values associated with the corrosion of metals, a variety of factors act to produce measured values that deviate from expected values for the conditions that are present. Usually the factors which contribute to the error of measured values act in a more or less random way so that the average of several values approximates the expected value better than a single measurement. The pattern in which data are scattered is called its distribution, and a variety of distributions are seen in corrosion work.

4.2 *Histograms*—A bar graph called a histogram may be used to display the scatter of the data. A histogram is constructed by dividing the range of data values into equal intervals on the abscissa axis and then placing a bar over each interval of a height equal to the number of data points within that interval. The number of intervals should be few enough so that almost all intervals contain at least three points; however, there should be a sufficient number of intervals to facilitate visualization of the shape and symmetry of the bar heights. Twenty intervals are usually recommended for a histogram. Because so many points are required to construct a histogram, it is unusual to find data sets in corrosion work that lend themselves to this type of analysis.

4.3 *Normal Distribution*—Many statistical techniques are based on the normal distribution. This distribution is bell-shaped and symmetrical. Use of analysis techniques developed for the normal distribution on data distributed in another manner can lead to grossly erroneous conclusions. Thus, before attempting data analysis, the data should either be verified as being scattered like a normal distribution, or a transformation

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee G01 on Corrosion of Metals and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee G01.05 on Laboratory Corrosion Tests.

Current edition approved Dec. 1, 2013. Published December 2013. Originally approved in 1971. Last previous edition approved in 2010 as G16–95 (2010). DOI: 10.1520/G0016-13.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.